

Data Quality Management for Continuous Source Water Monitoring



Jeffrey McIntyre West Virginia American Water August 11, 2016





About Us

- Proudly serving West
 Virginia since 1886
- 550,000 people in 333 communities in 19 counties
- Approx.1/3 of population
- 8 water treatment facilities
- 4,200 miles of water main
- 9,500 fire hydrants
- Outstanding environmental and compliance record





WVAW has been recognized through the **Partnership for Safe Water** for outstanding commitment to delivering superior quality drinking water to customers.



Source Water Supplies





Source Water Protection

Objective: Identify and mitigate potential risks to maintain or improve the quality of drinking water source(s)



Key information inputs

- ✓ Watershed protection zones
- Potential contaminant sources
- Monitoring for changes in source water quality



State Requirements (2014)

- Assess feasibility of early warning monitoring systems
- Required testing for utilities serving **100,000+** customers

Contaminant Classes

- ✓ Salts or ions
- ✓ Metals, including heavy metals
- ✓ Polar organic compounds
- ✓ Nonpolar organic compounds
- ✓ Pesticides
- ✓ Biotoxins
- \checkmark Volatile compounds, oils and other hydrocarbons





- EPA and industry experts
- Strategies and technologies to meet WV requirements
- Recommended continuous indicator monitoring







Morgantown, WV August 19, 2014

Source Water Contaminant Detection Workshop: Early Warning and Response

Considerations for examining the technical and economic feasibility of implementing an early warning monitoring system

Purpose

The information in this fact sheet is provided to assist West Virginia drinking water suppliers as they implement the source water protection planning requirements set forth in West Virginia Code Chapter 16.

Background

In response to a chemical spill on January 9, 2014 in the Elk River in Charleston, West Virginia, lawmakers passed legislation to protect drinking water supplies statewide by decreasing the risk of source water contamination from above ground storage tanks (ASTs) and improving utility resiliency to effectively deal with spills should they occur. A workshop was held on August 19, 2014 to provide West Virginia drinking water utilities with information on meeting the requirements of West Virginia Code Chapter 16. The workshop addressed requirements for updating or completing source water protection plans and public water utility monitoring requirements. The workshop further focused on the technical and economic feasibility of implementing an early warning monitoring system.

By bringing together water sector experts to discuss existing contaminant monitoring technologies, the workshop provided an overview of the newest monitoring approaches and expert opinions on deployment feasibility. Although continuous real-time monitoring was discussed in depth throughout the workshop, it was noted that it is not the only method for early detection of contaminants in source water.

Protecting Source Water - Early Warning Monitoring and Response Systems

Implementing an early warning monitoring and response system may be approached in different ways depending upon the water utility's resources and threats to the source water. This fact sheet describes the following components of an early warning system that should be considered by utilities as they examine the technical and economic feasibility of implementing these systems:

- I. Baseline Monitoring
- II. Alternatives for Real-time Source Water Monitoring
- III. Real-time Sensor Monitoring System Considerations
- IV. Consequence Management
- V. Communication and Planning

https://www.wvdhhr.org/oehs/eed/swap/



AMERICAN WATER



Source Monitoring Program

- **Objective**: Optimize treatment and identify the presence of potential contaminants
- Combines online source water quality indicators & advanced organics analysis
- ✓ Participation in monitoring networks (where available)
- Supplemental laboratory analyses as appropriate





Online Monitoring System

- Continuous real-time monitoring
- Identifies changes in water characteristics from baseline
- Equipment selection based on reliability, location, cost, O&M







Online Panel Configuration



Flow-Through Bench Weir



Data Collection

7 sensors x 1 min intervals



readings per day

= 3,679,200

site readings per year

x 8 sites



total readings per year



Contamination Event Detection

- **Objective**: Provide notification of water quality anomalies so that effective response actions can be implemented
- EDS automated monitoring and alert capabilities
- Several different methods of statistical analysis
- New application for source water monitoring





Event Detection Integration

• **Detector** system learns the normal pattern of behavior and identifies anomalies that could indicate an event



- ✓ Analyze data from multiple sensors in real time
- ✓ Alerting based on "rare combination" comparison
- ✓ Baseline monitoring currently underway



Important Considerations

- Storage and analysis
- Process integration
- Consequence management
- Data quality and reliability







Data Quality Management





Operation & Maintenance

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)
- Online maintenance system tracking

Display Maintenance Plan: Maintenance Plans Selected							
4	1	3 63	2 B B 🛎	🚏 🍞 🌆 🔲 🔂 Maintenance plan			
B	S	MPla	Maintenance Plan	MaintPlan dscrptn	SP	Unit	
	Γ	PD	700000073700	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 1Y	1	YR	
		PD	700000073701	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 1Q	1	YR	
		PD	700000073702	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 1M	1	YR	
		PD	70000073703	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 2Y	1	YR	
		PD	700000073704	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 1W	1	YR	
		PD	700000073705	WVFY-BW-NRWIN:SWM Panel INSP 2xW	1	YR	
		4 1	11			6 1	

	Daily	2x / Week	Other	
O&M Tasks	Check statusMonitor data	Flush benchClean probesVerify data	Replace partsOther repairs	



Calibration Checks

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)
- Detailed log tracking for sensor calibration



	Verification		Calibration
•	Performed 2x / week Check vs. known standard Check vs. other instrument Standard tolerance limits	•	Performed quarterly or more often based on verification Calibrate to standard solution Document calibration results



Data Review

Reliability

Missing data or errorsDown time for O&M

• Accuracy

- ✓ Checks vs. standards
- ✓ Calibration records

Precision

- ✓ Compare side-by-side panels
- ✓ Compare to grab samples





Case Study

Verification Checks

Average Run Time

1.6% 0.6% 97.8% 97.8% Equipment Down Time

- Calibration Down Time
- Total Run Time

Two Panel Comparison



Average Percent Difference







Successes and Challenges

- Standard configuration & management program
- High quality data for baseline monitoring period
- Cross-functional employee engagement
- New and/or additional responsibilities
- Instrument and system learning curve
- Long-term culture change and integration





Key Takeaways

Data quality and reliability play an important role in continuous monitoring and effective decision making



- \checkmark Focus on the big picture
- ✓ Integrate into operations for long-term sustainability
- ✓ Be open to alternative ideas, tools & solutions



Program Contacts

Jeffrey McIntyre

President West Virginia American Water jeffrey.mcintyre@amwater.com (304) 340-2000

Jennifer Heymann

Source Water Protection Manager West Virginia American Water jennifer.heymann@amwater.com (304) 340-2968

